UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,601	08/01/2006	Peter Alexander Duine	NL 040112	3676
	7590 07/19/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/597,601	DUINE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SRILAKSHMI K. KUMAR	2629		

	SKILAKSHIWI K. KUWAK	2029				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month:	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	onesponding number of finally reju	otod cidii iis.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliant / imonament (1 102 024).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	owasie ii odsimiled iii a ooparate,	annery med anneriamen	it our looking the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Srilakshmi K Kumar/					
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2629					
	/ II C C I III . L C L C					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to the 102 (e) rejection, as applicant has amended claims 1 and 19 to include subject matter to overcome the rejection, these rejections are withdrawn. With respect to the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection for the limitation of "substantially separate", applicant's arguments and paragraph 0016 of the specification are persuasive. Therefore, the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection with respect to "substantially separate" has been withdrawn.

With respect to the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection in regards to "substantially empty", applicant argues where the term substantially does not necessarily render the claim indefinite, and where it is not necessary to explicitly state in the specification that substantially mean plus or minus so many units. Examiner, agrees, that while it is not necessary to explicitly state that substantially mean plus or minus so many units, it is necessary to provide a clear indication of how the limitation is fully implemented. Stating "substantially empty" to one of ordinary skill in the art, would be easily interpreted to mean that less than 50% full. It would be considered trial and error in order to accomplish "substantially empty" in this situation. Therefore, the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection with regards to "substantially empty" is maintained . .